IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 69 OF 2006

SHRI GURUDAS ZILA KAUTHANKAR,SOCORRO,BARDEZ GOA. ....Petitioner

Versus

THE DEPUTY COLLECTOR AND ANR., ...Respondents

Mr. D. J. Pangam, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. G. Shirodkar, Addl. Govt. Advocate for the respondents.

Coram:- R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 27th February, 2006

P.C.

The only grievance which is made in the petition is that the records which were called for by the Dy. Collector from the Court of the Mamlatdar, were not being sent for considerably long period. The learned Addl. Govt. Advocate states that the concerned records have already been sent to the concerned Mamlatdar. We accept the said statement and being so, the petitioner's grievance in the petition does not survive.

The learned Advocate for the petitioner has also submitted that the Mamlatdar may be directed to dispose of the matter in relation to the application for temporary injunction pending before it. Undisputedly, the said matter was pending for long time on account of non-availability of the records which are now found and sent to the Mamlatdar. Being so, we expect the Court of Mamlatdar to expedite the hearing of the application for temporary injunction and to dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

With these observations, the petition is disposed of.

R.M.S. KHANDEPARKAR, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

ssm.